

8 September 2023

Ref: 212218R/30117

Muswellbrook Coal Company PO Box 123 Muswellbrook NSW 2333

RE: SEPTEMBER 2023 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS – MUSWELLBROOK COAL MINE

This letter report presents the results of noise compliance monitoring, commencing at about 10.00 pm on Wednesday 6th of September, 2023, for the Muswellbrook Coal Company (MCC) mine at Muscle Creek Road, Muswellbrook. The monitoring was undertaken as per the requirements of D.A. 205/2002 and detailed in the Noise Management Plan (NMP) for the mine.

Attended Noise Monitoring Program

Noise monitoring was undertaken in accordance with the NMP as summarised below.

All attended monitoring and equipment maintenance and calibration is conducted in accordance with the Noise Policy for Industry (NPfI) and AS1055 – Acoustics, Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise.

Attended noise monitoring is undertaken monthly by an independent noise consultant. Each attended noise survey will be conducted during night periods only. If it is identified during the noise monitoring that the mining noise from the operation is exceeding the criteria, MCC will be notified and the operations will be modified as required. Monitoring at the location(s) where the noise levels are elevated will be undertaken again with a minimum break of 75 minutes between monitoring.

The noise criteria for MCC apply under all meteorological conditions except for the following:

- i. Wind speeds greater than 3m/s at 10m above ground level; or
- ii. Stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2 m/s at 10m above ground level; or
- iii. Stability category G temperature inversion conditions.

To determine compliance with the Leq (15 min) operational noise criteria the modification factors detailed in Section 4 of the NPI must be applied, as appropriate, to the measured noise levels.

Due to the distance of the mine from each residence, the monitoring of LA1 (1minute) at the facade is not considered necessary and will be conducted at/or near the property boundary.

The attended noise monitoring locations are detailed in **Table 1** and shown in **Figure 1**.

Noise Mo	Table 1 onitoring Locations					
Location Description						
R13	Sandy Creek Road					
R15	Queen St					
R17	Queen St					
R25	Sandy Creek Road					
R32	Muscle Creek Road					




Figure 1 – Noise Monitoring Locations

Noise criteria for all assessment locations shown in Figure 1 are detailed in Appendix I to this report.

Monitoring Equipment

Attended noise monitoring was conducted with a Brüel & Kjær Type 2250 Precision Sound Analyser. This instrument has Type 1 characteristics as defined in AS1259-1990 "Sound Level Meters" and has current NATA calibration. Field calibration is carried out at the start and end of each monitoring period. Calibration certificates are attached as **Appendix II** to this report.

A-weighted noise levels were measured over the 15 minute monitoring period with data acquired of 1 second statistical intervals and the meter set to "fast" response. Each 1 second measurement is accompanied by a third-octave band spectrum from 20 - 20k Hz which is required for analysing NPI 'modifying factors'.



Time based field notes allow for determination of the relative contributions to the overall noise level of all significant noise sources.

Measurement Analysis

The MCC compliance noise criteria are based on a 15 minute Leq noise level. The 15 minute Leq noise level for each monitoring period is shown in the tables below. Where the noise from MCC was audible Bruel & Kjaer "*Evaluator*" analysis software was used to quantify the contribution of the mine and other significant noise sources to the overall level. Mine noise from MCC is shown in the table in bold type.

All noise levels shown are in dB(A) Leq (15 min) unless otherwise detailed.

MCC Operations

Operational details for MCC for the monitoring period on 6th of September, 2023 are detailed in **Appendix III**. At the time of the noise monitoring MCC had ceased mining operations and work was being undertaken to rehabilitate the site.

Noise Compliance Assessment

The results of the noise measurements are shown in **Table 2**.

			MCC One	rational Noi		le 2 ing Results	– 6 Septemb	er 2023	
Location	Time	dB(A), Leq	MCC Contribution dB(A), Leq	Criterion dB(A) Leq	dB(A), L1 (1min) ¹	Criterion dB(A), L1 (1min) ¹	Stability Class/ Wind speed (m/s)/dir ^o	Compliant Met Conditions?	Identified Noise Sources ²
R13 Sandy Creek Rd.	10:18 pm	34	32	41	36	45	D/1.9/123	Yes	MCC (32), traffic (30), frogs (20)
R15 Queen St.	10:41 pm	30	<20	37	<20	45	D/1.8/141	Yes	Traffic (30), frogs (20), MCC barely audible
R17 Queen St.	11:00 pm	34	30	35	34	45	F/1.0/150	Yes	Traffic (31), MCC (30) , frogs (26)
R25 Sandy Creek Rd.	10:00 pm	36	36	42	39	45	E/1.6/105	Yes	MCC (36), frogs (22)
R32 Muscle Creek Rd.	11:25 pm	36	<20	35	<20	45	F/0.7/228	Yes	Traffic (36), frogs (23), MCC barely audible

1. L1 (1 min) from MCC mine noise only

2. See text regarding MCC noise sources

The results in Table 2 show that, under the operational and meteorological conditions at the time, noise from MCC was audible at all of the monitoring locations.



The noise was only consistent enough to be measurable at monitoring locations R13, R17 and R25. At each of these locations the noise from MCC was from a combination of mine hum with occasional engine revs.

The data analysis presented in Table 2 shows that the noise from MCC did not exceed the relevant noise criteria at any time or location during the monitoring period.

The data from the mine operated weather station showed that meteorological conditions were compliant with the conditions in the NMP for the entire noise monitoring survey.

As indicated above, noise from MCC was measurable at monitoring Locations R13, R17 and R25.

Data from those times where MCC operations were audible during the monitoring survey were analysed using the *"Evaluator"* software. This analysis showed the noise did not contain any tonal or impulsive components as per definitions in the NPI.

The methodology for analysing the low frequency noise modifying factor correction in the NPI is shown in extract below.

Low-frequency noise	Measurement of source contribution C- weighted and A- weighted level and one-third octave measurements in the range 10– 160 Hz	 Measure/assess source contribution C- and A-weighted Leq, T levels over same time period. Correction to be applied where the C minus A level is 15 dB or more and: where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by up to and including 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 2- dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by more than 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 5- dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period and a 2- dB(A) positive adjustment applies for the daytime period. 	2 or 5 dB ²	A difference of 15 dB or more between C- and A-weighted measurements identifies the potential for an unbalance spectrum and potential increased annoyance. The values in Table C2 are derived from Moorhouse (2011) for DEFRA fluctuating low- frequency noise criteria with corrections to reflect external assessment locations.
------------------------	---	---	------------------------	---

Table C2 : One-third octave low-frequency noise thresholds.

Hz/dB(Z)	One-tl	hird octa	ave dB(2	Z) Leq (15 min)	thresho	old level						
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
dB(Z)	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44

The correction applies to the mine noise component only. There are many sources of low frequency noise in the acoustic environment of each receiver area (including noise from road and rail traffic and from rail track works). In many cases the C minus A level is greater than 15dB due to these other noise sources. In most instances the screening criteria will be the one third octave analysis. Should the mine noise not comply with this then the C minus A analysis will be applied.

Tables 3, **4** and **5** show the low frequency noise analysis for the periods where the mine noise was able to be accurately isolated from the overall measurement during the monitoring at Locations R13, R17 and R25 respectively.

					Ta	ble 3							
		Lo	w Frequ	uency N	oise An	alysis -	6 Sept	ember 2	023				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R13 Sandy Ck Rd.	<35	35.3	42.2	41.6	46.5	38.9	38.1	41.8	37.5	37.2	35.0	34.0	32.4
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

					Ta	ble 4							
		Lo	w Frequ	uency N	oise An	alysis –	6 Sept	ember 2	023				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R17 Queen St.	<36	36.0	40.8	36.0	36.9	39.5	41.1	39.7	43.7	41.1	42.2	40.8	32.6
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

					Та	ble 5							
		Lo	w Frequ	uency N	oise An	alysis -	6 Sept	ember 2	023				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R25 Sandy Ck Rd.	<37	37.5	42.8	43.0	42.1	36.7	35.8	38.2	33.0	32.7	38.3	44.6	37.0
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The results in Tables 3, 4 and 5 show that there is no requirement to apply a low frequency noise modifying factor correction to the measured noise levels at Locations R13, R17 and R25.

In addition to the operational noise, the noise from MCC must not exceed **45 or 47 dB(A) L1 (1 min)** between the hours of 10 pm and 7 am (see Appendix I for details of noise criteria at various receiver locations). This is to minimise the potential for sleep disturbance as a result of individual loud noises from the mine.

The compliance measurement locations are different for each of the operational and sleep disturbance noise. That is, the sleep disturbance criterion is typically applicable at 1m from the facade of a bedroom window.

To avoid undue disturbance to residents the L1 (1 min) noise level from the operational measurements are used to show general compliance with the sleep disturbance criterion. That is, as the distance





Muswellbrook Coal Noise Monitoring – September 2023

between the noise source and the operational noise monitoring location is significantly greater than the distance between the operational noise monitoring location and the sleep disturbance monitoring location (i.e. 1m from the facade of the house) there will be little variation in L1 (1 min) levels between the two monitoring locations.

It must be noted, however, that the sleep disturbance criterion is applicable at the outside of a bedroom window. As the internal layout of each residence is not known, to consider a worst case, the bedroom windows were assumed to be facing towards the mine.

As shown in Table 2, during the night time measurement circuit the L1 (1 min) noise from MCC was did not exceed 45 dB(A) at any monitoring location.

We trust this report fulfils your requirements at this time, however, should you require additional information or assistance please contact the undersigned on 0412 023 455.

Yours faithfully,

SPECTRUM ACOUSTICS PTY LIMITED

Author:

Ross Hodge Acoustical Consultant

Review:

Neil Pennington Acoustical Consultant



Appendix I

Noise criteria from Development Consent DA205/2002 (Locations as per Figure 1).

Location	Day	Evening	Nig	ht
Location	L _{Aeq(15 minute)}	L _{Aeq(15 minute)}	L _{Aeq(15 minute)}	L _{A1 (1 minute)}
R1, R2, R3, R4, R17, R26, R27, R28, R29, R30, R31, R32, R33, R34, R35, R37, R38, R39	35	35	35	45
R5	36	36	36	45
R7	38	38	38	45
R11	39	39	39	45
R12	39	39	39	45
R13	41	41	41	45
R14	38	38	38	45
R15	37	37	37	45
R16	36	36	36	45
R17	35	35	35	45
R18	45	38	37	47
R20	45	38	37	47
R21	37	37	37	45
R22	39	39	39	45
R23	39	39	39	45
R24	40	40	40	45
R25	42	42	42	45
R36	38	38	38	45
R40	42	42	42	45
R41	42	42	42	45
R42	40	40	40	45

Note: All levels are in dB(A)

Note: Following further consultation with the community it has been identified that R11 is a stable complex, not a residence, so the criteria listed in the table above do not apply.



Appendix II

Calibration Certificates

	INCER			
			NATA	
	rive, Macquarie Park NSW 2113, Australia /IEC 17025 - Calibration. Laboratory No. 13	01	WORLD RECOGNISED	N
CERTIFICATE OF	CALIBRATION	Certificate No: CAU2100	0868 Page 1 of 1	11
ALIBRATION OF:	x *			
ound Level Meter:	Bruel & Kjaer	2250	No: 2747794	
licrophone:	Bruel & Kjaer	4189	No: 2733511	
reamplifier:	Bruel & Kjaer	ZC-0032	No: 15339	
upplied Calibrator:	Bruel & Kjaer	4231	No: 2466354	
oftware version:	BZ7224 Version 4.6	Pattern Approval:	PTB	
struction manual:	BE1712-22	Identification:	N/A	
USTOMER:				
	Spectrum Acoustics Pty Ltd			
	Suite 1, 12 Alma Road			
	New Lambton NSW 2305			
ALIBRATION CONDI	TIONS			
reconditioning:	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm	ental conditions sections		
reconditioning: nvironment conditions:	4 hours at 23 °C	ental conditions sections		_
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: ne Sound Level Meter has rocedures from IEC 61672-	4 hours at 23 °C	ith the requirements as specifi e periodic tests.		
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: ne Sound Level Meter has rocedures from IEC 61672- ne measurements included ROCEDURE: ne measurements have be 530 with application softw	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance w 3:2013 were used to perform th	ith the requirements as specifi e periodic tests. to Australian/National standar e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level I	ds. Meter Calibration System B&K	
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: the Sound Level Meter has tocedures from IEC 61672- the measurements included ROCEDURE: the measurements have be 630 with application softw ESULTS:	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance w 3:2013 were used to perform th i in this document are traceable en performed with the assistance	ith the requirements as specifi e periodic tests. to Australian/National standar e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level I 8.30) and test procedure 2250-	ds. Meter Calibration System B&K -4189.	
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: ne Sound Level Meter has rocedures from IEC 61672- ne measurements included ROCEDURE: ne measurements have be 530 with application softw ESULTS: Initial calibration	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance w 3:2013 were used to perform th i in this document are traceable en performed with the assistanc are type 7763 (version 8.3 - DB:	ith the requirements as specifi e periodic tests. to Australian/National standar e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level I 8.30) and test procedure 2250- Calibration prior to repair,	ds. Meter Calibration System B&K 4189. /adjustment	
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: the Sound Level Meter has rocedures from IEC 61672- the measurements included ROCEDURE: the measurements have be 630 with application softw ESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance w 3:2013 were used to perform th i in this document are traceable en performed with the assistanc are type 7763 (version 8.3 - DB:	ith the requirements as specifi e periodic tests. to Australian/National standar e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level I 8.30) and test procedure 2250- Calibration prior to repair, Calibration after repair/ad	ds. Meter Calibration System B&K 4189. /adjustment djustment	
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: ne Sound Level Meter has rocedures from IEC 61672- ne measurements included ROCEDURE: ne measurements have be 530 with application softw ESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without ne reported expanded unc level of confidence of apping the measurements originating from the softward of the	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance w 3:2013 were used to perform th i in this document are traceable en performed with the assistanc are type 7763 (version 8.3 - DB: repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standard roximately 95 %. The uncertainty on the standards, calibration m	ith the requirements as specifi e periodic tests. to Australian/National standar e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level I 8.30) and test procedure 2250- Calibration prior to repair, Calibration after repair/ac d uncertainty multiplied by a co y evaluation has been carried o	ds. Meter Calibration System B&K 4189. /adjustment Jjustment byerage factor k = 2 providing ut in accordance with EA-4/02	2
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: ne Sound Level Meter has ocedures from IEC 61672- ne measurements included ROCEDURE: ne measurements have be i30 with application softw ESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without ne reported expanded unc level of confidence of apping on elements originating fr	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance w 3:2013 were used to perform th 4 in this document are traceable en performed with the assistance are type 7763 (version 8.3 - DB: repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standard roximately 95 %. The uncertainty rom the standards, calibration m e under calibration.	ith the requirements as specifi e periodic tests. to Australian/National standar e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level I 8.30) and test procedure 2250- Calibration prior to repair, Calibration after repair/ac d uncertainty multiplied by a co y evaluation has been carried o	ds. Meter Calibration System B&K -4189. /adjustment Jjustment byerage factor k = 2 providing ut in accordance with EA-4/02 I conditions and any short time	2
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: the Sound Level Meter has cocedures from IEC 61672- the measurements included ROCEDURE: the measurements have be i30 with application softw ESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without the reported expanded unc level of confidence of appi om elements originating fr intribution from the device	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance w 3:2013 were used to perform th 4 in this document are traceable en performed with the assistance are type 7763 (version 8.3 - DB: repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standard roximately 95 %. The uncertainty rom the standards, calibration m e under calibration.	ith the requirements as specifi e periodic tests. to Australian/National standar e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level I 8.30) and test procedure 2250- Calibration prior to repair, Calibration after repair/ad d uncertainty multiplied by a co r evaluation has been carried o ethod, effect of environmental	ds. Meter Calibration System B&K -4189. /adjustment Jjustment byerage factor k = 2 providing ut in accordance with EA-4/02 I conditions and any short time	2
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: the Sound Level Meter has ocedures from IEC 61672- the measurements included ROCEDURE: the measurements have be i30 with application softw ESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without the reported expanded unc- level of confidence of apping the measurements originating from the device	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance w 3:2013 were used to perform th 4 in this document are traceable en performed with the assistance are type 7763 (version 8.3 - DB: repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standard roximately 95 %. The uncertainty rom the standards, calibration m e under calibration.	ith the requirements as specifi e periodic tests. to Australian/National standar e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level I 8.30) and test procedure 2250- Calibration prior to repair, Calibration after repair/ad d uncertainty multiplied by a co r evaluation has been carried o ethod, effect of environmental	ds. Meter Calibration System B&K -4189. /adjustment Jjustment byerage factor k = 2 providing ut in accordance with EA-4/02 I conditions and any short time	2
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: the Sound Level Meter has ocedures from IEC 61672- the measurements included ROCEDURE: the measurements have be is30 with application softw ESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without the reported expanded uncu- tevel of confidence of application om elements originating fr intribution from the device Date of Calibratic Sajeeb Th	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance w 3:2013 were used to perform th 1 in this document are traceable en performed with the assistance are type 7763 (version 8.3 - DB: repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standard roximately 95 %. The uncertainty rom the standards, calibration m e under calibration. m: 06/12/2021	ith the requirements as specific e periodic tests. to Australian/National standar e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level I 8.30) and test procedure 2250- Calibration prior to repair, Calibration after repair/ac d uncertainty multiplied by a co e vealuation has been carried o ethod, effect of environmental Certificate issued: 06/ Craig Patrick	ds. Meter Calibration System B&K -4189. /adjustment djustment byerage factor k = 2 providing ut in accordance with EA-4/02 I conditions and any short time 12/2021	2
reconditioning: nvironment conditions: PECIFICATIONS: the Sound Level Meter has toocedures from IEC 61672- the measurements included ROCEDURE: the measurements have be 630 with application softw ESULTS: Initial calibration X Calibration without the reported expanded uncu- level of confidence of apply om elements originating from the tribution from the deviced Date of Calibration	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance w 3:2013 were used to perform th 1 in this document are traceable en performed with the assistance are type 7763 (version 8.3 - DB: repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standard roximately 95 %. The uncertainty rom the standards, calibration m e under calibration. m: 06/12/2021	ith the requirements as specific e periodic tests. to Australian/National standar e of Brüel & Kjær Sound Level I 8.30) and test procedure 2250- Calibration prior to repair, Calibration after repair/ac d uncertainty multiplied by a co evaluation has been carried o ethod, effect of environmental Certificate issuett: 06/	ds. Meter Calibration System B&K -4189. /adjustment djustment byerage factor k = 2 providing ut in accordance with EA-4/02 I conditions and any short time 12/2021	2

	and the moving of	Sound Ca			
		IEC 609	42-2017		
	Cal	ibration	Certifica	ate	
	Calibrati	on Number C	21052		
	C	30	ectrum Acoustics Veronica Street rdiff NSW 2285		
Equip	ment Tested/ Mode Instrument Seria		lsar Model 105 503		
		Atmospheric			
	Ambient Ter Relative		8°C 3%		
).16kPa		
Calibration Tech			Secondary (x Moore
Calibration	Date : 04 Feb 20	21	Report Issue	Date : 5 F	eb 2021
	Approved	Signatory :	allams		Ken William
Characteristic Test		Result			
Generated Sound Pre- Frequency Generated		Pass			
Total Distortion		Pass Pass			
	Nominal Level 94	Nominal Freq 1000	and the local day of th	94.00	Measured Frequenc 1000.30
The sound calibrator has the sound pressu	been shown to conform re level(s) and frequency	o the class 1 requirem	ents for periodic testing	e, described in A	onex B of IEC 60942 2017 fr
		Least Uncertainties	of Measurement - ironmental Conditions	C	
Specific Tests	$\pm 0.14dB$	Laiv	Temperature	=0.2%	5
Specific Tests Generated SPL			Relative Humidity Barometric Pressure	=2.4%	
	=0.09% ±0.09%			-0.077	
Generated SPL Frequency	$\pm 0.09\%$ $\pm 0.09\%$	derived at the 95% our		Second State	2.4
Generated SPL Frequency	$\pm 0.09\%$ $\pm 0.09\%$	derived at the 95% coa	ofidence level with a co	Second State	2.4
Generated SPL Frequency Distortion	=0.09% ±0.09% All uncertainties are		fidence level with a co	werage factor of	2
Generated SPL Frequency Distortion	$\pm 0.09\%$ $\pm 0.09\%$		fidence level with a co	werage factor of	2
Generated SPL Frequency Distortion	=0.09% ±0.09% All uncertainties are		fidence level with a co	werage factor of	2
Generated SPL Frequency Distortion	=0.09% ±0.09% All uncertainties are		fidence level with a co	werage factor of	2
Generated SPL Frequency Distortion	=0.09% ±0.09% All uncertainties are The tests <1000 kHz are	not covered by Acous	fidence level with a co	werage factor of 1.1d NATA accre	2. ditation.
Generated SPL Frequency Distortion	=0.09% =0.09% All uncertainties are The tests <1000 kHz are This calibration certil Acoustic Research L	not covered by Acous	afidence level with a co tic Research Labs Pty I onjunction with the cal secredited Laboratory 1	werage factor of .td NATA accre ibration test rep	2. ditation.
Generated SPL Frequency Distortion	=0.09% =0.09% All uncertainties are The tests <1000 kHz are This calibration certii Acoustic Research Li Accredited for compl	not covered by Acous feate is to be read in e abs Pty Ltd is NATA / innce with ISO/IEC 17	afidence level with a co tic Research Labs Pty I onjunction with the cal secredited Laboratory 1	nerage factor of .td NATA accre ibration test repo Number 14172	² ditation.
Generated SPL Frequency Distortion	=0.09% +0.09% All uncertainties are The tests <1000 kHz are This calibration certii Acoustic Research L Accredited for compl The results of the test units. NATA is a signatory	not covered by Acous feate is to be read in e abs Pty Ltd is NATA / iance with ISO/IEC 17 s, calibrations and/or r to the ILAC Mutual R	afidence level with a co tic Research Labs Pty I onjunction with the cal secredited Laboratory 1 7025 - calibration	iverage factor of .td NATA accre ibration test reps Number 14172 I in this document of the mutual	12 ditation. on. 11 are traceable to St





Appendix III

Operational Details - 6 September 2023 (10pm to midnight)

Excavator and Truck

- EX212 was operating on the inert stockpile at RL 225 running 3 x 777 trucks RD1216,RD1218 and RD1219 running to the RL 245 Dump.
- There was 1x D10 DZ1436 dozer at the excavator and 1x DZ1351 at the dump.

Dozer Push

- 1x D11(DZ2179) and 2x D10(DZ1439 & DZ1451) where being used in the dozer push.
- Dozer in the slot push were on crib from 23:00 23:45

