

23 November 2021

Ref: 171356/29587

Muswellbrook Coal Company PO Box 123 Muswellbrook NSW 2333

RE: NOVEMBER 2021 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS - MUSWELLBROOK COAL MINE

This letter report presents the results of noise compliance monitoring, commencing at about 10.00 pm on Thursday 18th of November, 2021, for the Muswellbrook Coal Company (MCC) mine at Muscle Creek Road, Muswellbrook. The monitoring was undertaken as per the requirements of D.A. 205/2002 and detailed in the Noise Management Plan (NMP) for the mine.

Attended Noise Monitoring Program

Noise monitoring was undertaken in accordance with the NMP as summarised below.

All attended monitoring and equipment maintenance and calibration is conducted in accordance with the Noise Policy for Industry (NPI) and AS1055 – Acoustics, Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise.

Attended noise monitoring is undertaken monthly by an independent noise consultant. Each attended noise survey will be conducted during night periods only. If it is identified during the noise monitoring that the mining noise from the operation is exceeding the criteria, MCC will be notified and the operations will be modified as required. Monitoring at the location(s) where the noise levels are elevated will be undertaken again with a minimum break of 75 minutes between monitoring.

The noise criteria for MCC apply under all meteorological conditions except for the following:

- i. Wind speeds greater than 3m/s at 10m above ground level; or
- ii. Stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater than 2 m/s at 10m above ground level; or
- iii. Stability category G temperature inversion conditions.

To determine compliance with the Leq (15 min) operational noise criteria the modification factors detailed in Section 4 of the NPI must be applied, as appropriate, to the measured noise levels.

Due to the distance of the mine from each residence, the monitoring of LA1 (1minute) at the facade is not considered necessary and will be conducted at/or near the property boundary.



Noise Mo	Table 1 Noise Monitoring Locations					
Location Description						
R13	Sandy Creek Road					
R15	Queen St					
R17	Queen St					
R25	Sandy Creek Road					
R32	Muscle Creek Road					

The attended noise monitoring locations are detailed in Table 1 and shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1 – Noise Monitoring Locations

Noise criteria for all assessment locations shown in Figure 1 are detailed in Appendix I to this report.

Monitoring Equipment

Attended noise monitoring was conducted with a Brüel & Kjær Type 2250 Precision Sound Analyser. This instrument has Type 1 characteristics as defined in AS1259-1990 "Sound Level Meters" and has current NATA calibration. Field calibration is carried out at the start and end of each monitoring period. Calibration certificates are attached as **Appendix II** to this report.

A-weighted noise levels were measured over the 15 minute monitoring period with data acquired of 1 second statistical intervals and the meter set to "fast" response. Each 1 second measurement is accompanied by a third-octave band spectrum from 20 - 20k Hz which is required for analysing NPI 'modifying factors'.





Time based field notes allow for determination of the relative contributions to the overall noise level of all significant noise sources.

Measurement Analysis

The MCC compliance noise criteria are based on a 15 minute Leq noise level. The 15 minute Leq noise level for each monitoring period is shown in the tables below. Where the noise from MCC was audible Bruel & Kjaer "*Evaluator*" analysis software was used to quantify the contribution of the mine and other significant noise sources to the overall level. Mine noise from MCC is shown in the table in bold type.

All noise levels shown are in dB(A) Leq (15 min) unless otherwise detailed.

MCC Operations

Operational details for MCC for the monitoring period on 18th of November, 2021 are shown in **Appendix** III.

Noise Compliance Assessment

The results of the noise measurements are shown in Table 2.

					Tab	le 2			
			MCC Ope	rational Nois	se Monitor	ing Results	– 18 Novemb	oer 2021	
Location	Time	dB(A), Leq	MCC Contribution dB(A), Leq	Criterion dB(A) Leq	dB(A), L1 (1min) ¹	Criterion dB(A), L1 (1min) ¹	Stability Class/ Wind speed (m/s)/dir ^o	Compliant Met Conditions?	Identified Noise Sources ²
R13 Sandy Creek Rd.	10:18 pm	44	n/a	41	n/a	45	E/2.3/353	Yes	Frogs & insects (42), train (36), traffic (35), MCC inaudible
R15 Queen St.	10:45 pm	36	<20	37	<25	45	D/2.8/34	Yes	Frogs & insects (34), traffic (32), MCC occasionally audible
R17 Queen St.	11:03 pm	39	n/a	35	n/a	45	E/2.3/329	Yes	Frogs & insects (38), traffic (30), train (27), MCC inaudible
R25 Sandy Creek Rd.	10:00 pm	38	<20	42	<25	45	E/2.2/355	Yes	Frogs & insects (37), electrical substation (32) MCC occasionally audible
R32 Muscle Creek Rd.	11:25 pm	45	20	35	25	45	D/2.6/333	Yes	Frogs (44), train (36), MCC (20)

1. L1 (1 min) from MCC mine noise only

2. See text regarding MCC noise sources



The results in Table 2 show that, under the operational and meteorological conditions at the time, noise from MCC did not exceed the relevant noise criteria at any time or location during the monitoring period.

The data from the mine operated weather station showed that meteorological conditions were compliant with the conditions in the NMP for the entire noise monitoring survey.

Mine noise from Muswellbrook Coal was consistently audible and measurable only at monitoring location R32. At this location the mine noise was attributable to mine hum and engine revs.

At location R15 and R25 the mine noise was occasionally audible as engine revs. The noise was not loud enough or consistent enough to be measurable.

Data from those times where MCC operations were consistently audible were analysed using the *"Evaluator"* software. This analysis showed the noise did not contain any tonal or impulsive components as per definitions in the Noise Policy for Industry (NPfI).

The methodology for analysing the low frequency noise modifying factor correction in the NPfI is shown in extract below.

Low-frequency noise	Measurement of source contribution C- weighted and A- weighted level and one-third octave measurements in the range 10– 160 Hz	 Measure/assess source contribution C- and A-weighted Leq,T levels over same time period. Correction to be applied where the C minus A level is 15 dB or more and: where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by up to and including 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 2- dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period where any of the one-third octave noise levels in Table C2 are exceeded by more than 5 dB and cannot be mitigated, a 5-dB(A) positive adjustment to measured/predicted A- weighted levels applies for the evening/night period 	2 or 5 dB ²	A difference of 15 dB or more between C- and A-weighted measurements identifies the potential for an unbalance spectrum and potential increased annoyance. The values in Table C2 are derived from Moorhouse (2011) for DEFRA fluctuating low- frequency noise criteria with corrections to reflect external assessment locations.
------------------------	---	--	------------------------	---

Table C2 : One-third octave low-frequency noise thresholds.

Hz/dB(Z)	One-th	One-third octave dB(Z) Leq (15 min) threshold level											
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
dB(Z)	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44





The correction applies to the mine noise component only. There are, typically, many sources of low frequency noise in the acoustic environment of each receiver area (including noise from distant road and rail traffic).

In many cases the C minus A level is greater than 15dB due to these other noise sources. In most instances the screening criteria will be the one third octave analysis. Should the mine noise not comply with this then the C minus A analysis will be applied.

Table 3 shows the low frequency noise analysis for the periods where the mine noise was able to be accurately isolated from the overall measurements during the monitoring at Location R32.

					Та	able 3							
		Le	ow Freq	uency N	loise Ai	nalysis ·	- 18 Nov	vember	2021				
Frequency (Hz)	10	12.5	16	20	25	31.5	40	50	63	80	100	125	160
R32 Muscle Ck Rd	<25	33.6	40.5	39.2	40.1	35.3	39.8	39.9	41.4	40.1	37.8	37.5	33.1
dB(Z) Criterion	92	89	86	77	69	61	54	50	50	48	48	46	44
Exceedance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The results in Table 3 shows that there is no requirement to apply a low frequency noise modifying factor correction to the measured mine noise level at Location R32.

In addition to the operational noise, the noise from MCC must not exceed **45 or 47 dB(A) L1 (1 min)** between the hours of 10 pm and 7 am (see Appendix I for details of noise criteria at various receiver locations). This is to minimise the potential for sleep disturbance as a result of individual loud noises from the mine. The compliance measurement locations are different for each of the operational and sleep disturbance noise. That is, the sleep disturbance criterion is typically applicable at 1m from the facade of a bedroom window.

To avoid undue disturbance to residents the L1 (1 min) noise level from the operational measurements are used to show general compliance with the sleep disturbance criterion. That is, as the distance between the noise source and the operational noise monitoring location is significantly greater than the distance between the operational noise monitoring location and the sleep disturbance monitoring location (i.e. 1m from the facade of the house) there will be little variation in L1 (1 min) levels between the two monitoring locations.

It must be noted, however, that the sleep disturbance criterion is applicable at the outside of a bedroom window. As the internal layout of each residence is not known, to consider a worst case, the bedroom windows were assumed to be facing towards the mine.

As shown in Table 2, during the night time measurement circuit the L1 (1 min) noise from MCC did not exceed 45 dB(A) at any monitoring location.

At locations R13, R17 and R32 the L1 (1 min) noise was attributable to relatively loud engine revs.



We trust this report fulfils your requirements at this time, however, should you require additional information or assistance please contact the undersigned on 0412 023 455.

Yours faithfully,

SPECTRUM ACOUSTICS PTY LIMITED

Author:

Cass

Ross Hodge Acoustical Consultant

Review:

Neil Pennington Acoustical Consultant



Appendix I

Noise criteria from Development Consent DA205/2002 (Locations as per Figure 1).

Location	Day	Evening	Nig	ht
Location	L _{Aeq(15 minute)}	L _{Aeq(15 minute)}	L _{Aeq(15 minute)}	L _{A1 (1 minute)}
R1, R2, R3, R4, R17, R26, R27, R28, R29, R30, R31, R32, R33, R34, R35, R37, R38, R39	35	35	35	45
R5	36	36	36	45
R7	38	38	38	45
R11	39	39	39	45
R12	39	39	39	45
R13	41	41	41	45
R14	38	38	38	45
R15	37	37	37	45
R16	36	36	36	45
R17	35	35	35	45
R18	45	38	37	47
R20	45	38	37	47
R21	37	37	37	45
R22	39	39	39	45
R23	39	39	39	45
R24	40	40	40	45
R25	42	42	42	45
R36	38	38	38	45
R40	42	42	42	45
R41	42	42	42	45
R42	40	40	40	45

Note: All levels are in dB(A)

Note: Following further consultation with the community it has been identified that R11 is a stable complex, not a residence, so the criteria listed in the table above do not apply.



Appendix II

Calibration Certificates

	Ryde NSW 2113, Australia J/IEC 17025 - Calibration, Laboratory No. 1	301		
CERTIFICATE OI	CALIBRATION	Certificate No: C	AU2000092	Page 1 of 11
CALIBRATION OF:				
Sound Level Meter:	Bruel & Kjaer	2250	No: 26539	61
Microphone:	Bruel & Kjaer	4189	No: 30874	90
Preamplifier:	Bruel & Kjaer	ZC-0032	No: 25104	
Supplied Calibrator:	None	None	No: N/A	
Software version:	BZ7222 Version 4.7.5	Pattern Approval:	PTB	
Instruction manual:	BE1712-22	Identification:	N/A	
CUSTOMER:				
	Spectrum Acoustics Pty Ltd			
	30 Veronica Street			
	Cardiff NSW 2285			
CALIBRATION COND	ITIONS:			
and the second se	4 hours at 23 °C see actual values in Environn	nental conditions sections		
Environment conditions:	see actual values in Environn			
The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 61672 PROCEDURE: The measurements have be	see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance v -3:2013 were used to perform to ren performed with the assistan	vith the requirements as spe ne periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev	vel Meter Calibrat	
Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 61672 PROCEDURE: The measurements have be	see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance v 3:2013 were used to perform ti	vith the requirements as spe ne periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev	vel Meter Calibrat	
Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 61672 PROCEDURE: The measurements have be 3630 with application softw	see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance v -3:2013 were used to perform to ren performed with the assistan	vith the requirements as spe ne periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev	vel Meter Calibrat	
Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 61672 PROCEDURE: The measurements have be 3630 with application softw	see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance v -3:2013 were used to perform to ren performed with the assistan	vith the requirements as spe ne periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev	vel Meter Calibra 150-4189.	
Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 61672 PROCEDURE: The measurements have be 3630 with application softw RESULTS: Initial calibration	see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance v -3:2013 were used to perform to ren performed with the assistan	vith the requirements as spe ne periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev 8.00) and test procedure 22	vel Meter Calibrat 50-4189. Hair/adjustment	
Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 61672 PROCEDURE: The measurements have be 3630 with application softw RESULTS: Initial calibration Calibration without The reported expanded unc a level of confidence of app from elements originating f	see actual values in Environm been calibrated in accordance v -3:2013 were used to perform the en performed with the assistant are type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB: crepair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standar roximately 95 %. The uncertaint rom the standards, calibration m	vith the requirements as spe ne periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev 8.00) and test procedure 22 Calibration prior to rep X Calibration after repair d uncertainty multiplied by i y evaluation has been carrie	vel Meter Calibral 50-4189. Nair/adjustment /adjustment a coverage factor d out in accordar	tion System B&K
Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 61672 PROCEDURE: The measurements have be 3630 with application softw RESULTS: Initial calibration Calibration without The reported expanded unc a level of confidence of app from elements originating f	see actual values in Environn been calibrated in accordance v -3:2013 were used to perform to en performed with the assistan rare type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB) repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standar roximately 95 %. The uncertaint rom the standards, calibration n e under calibration.	vith the requirements as spe ne periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev 8.00) and test procedure 22 Calibration prior to rep X Calibration after repair d uncertainty multiplied by i y evaluation has been carrie	vel Meter Calibra 50-4189. hair/adjustment /adjustment a coverage factor d out in accordar ntal conditions ar	tion System B&K
Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 61672 PROCEDURE: The measurements have be 3630 with application softw RESULTS: Initial calibration Calibration without The reported expanded unc a level of confidence of app from elements roiginating fi contribution from the device	see actual values in Environn been calibrated in accordance v -3:2013 were used to perform to en performed with the assistan rare type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB) repair/adjustment ertainty is based on the standar roximately 95 %. The uncertaint rom the standards, calibration n e under calibration.	vith the requirements as spe ne periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev 8.00) and test procedure 22 Calibration prior to rep X Calibration after repair d uncertainty multiplied by a y evaluation has been carrie nethod, effect of environmen	vel Meter Calibra 50-4189. hair/adjustment /adjustment a coverage factor d out in accordar ntal conditions ar	tion System B&K
Environment conditions: SPECIFICATIONS: The Sound Level Meter has Procedures from IEC 61672 PROCEDURE: The measurements have be 3630 with application softw RESULTS: Initial calibration Calibration without The reported expanded unc a level of confidence of app from elements roiginating fi contribution from the device	see actual values in Environment been calibrated in accordance v -3:2013 were used to perform the en performed with the assistant are type 7763 (version 8.0 - DB) 	vith the requirements as spe ne periodic tests. ce of Brüel & Kjær Sound Lev 8.00) and test procedure 22 Calibration prior to rep X Calibration after repair d uncertainty multiplied by a y evaluation has been carrie nethod, effect of environmen	vel Meter Calibra 50-4189. hair/adjustment /adjustment a coverage factor d out in accordar ntal conditions ar	tion System B&K

		Sound Cal	v.acousticres	earch.c	om.au
		IEC 6094			
	Cali	bration	Certificat	te	
	Calibratio	n Number C2	1052		
	Cli	30 V	trum Acoustics eronica Street liff NSW 2285		
Equip	oment Tested/ Model Instrument Serial		ar Model 105 13		
	Ambient Tem Relative I Barometric	lumidity : 48.3	°C		
Calibration Tech Calibration		1	Secondary Che Report Issue Da		s Moore eb 2021
	Approved 8	ignatory : B	Chims		Ken Willian
Generated Sound Pre Frequency Generated Total Distortion		Pass Pass Pass			
	94	Nominal Frequence 1000	ency Measure 94		Measured Frequence 1000.30
The sound calibrator has the sound press. Specific Tests Generated SPL Frequency Distortion	s been shown to conform to are level(s) and frequency(s =0.14dB =0.09% =0.09%	es) stated, for the envir Least Uncertainties of Envir	onmental conditions una	escribed in A der which the =0.2°C =2.4% =0.015	5
	All uncertainties are de	rrived at the 95% confi	dence level with a cover	age factor of	2.
	The tests <1000 kHz are n	ot covered by Acoustic	Research Labs Pty Ltd	NATA accre	ditation.
*				ation test repo	art.
*	This calibration certify	rate is to be read in con	junction with the calibra		
NATA		s Pty Ltd is NATA Ac	credited Laboratory Nur	Brunner	
NATA	Acoustic Research Lab Accredited for complia	s Pty Ltd is NATA Ae nce with ISO/IEC 170	credited Laboratory Nur	mber 14172.	
~	Acoustic Research Lab Accredited for complit The results of the tests units. NATA is a signatory to	s Pty Ltd is NATA Ae nee with ISO/IEC 170 calibrations and/or me the ILAC Mutual Rec	credited Laboratory Nu 25 - calibration	mber 14172. this document	t are traceable to St





Appendix III

Operational Details - 18 November 2021 (10.00 pm to midnight)

For that period mining was carried out as follows;

- 211, 1 x D10 dozer, 3 x Hitachi 3500 trucks in S24 hauling waste to the RL110 dump in Pit 1
- 209, 1 x D10 dozer, 5 x Hitachi 3500 trucks in S25 hauling waste to the RL235 dump in Pit 1
- 1 x D10 dozer on RL 235 dump in Pit 1.
- Washing plant running with 1 x CAT 777
- 323 Loader on ROM
- 1 x watercart
- 1 x grader
- No drilling

